### Preliminary assessment report spreadsheet: instructions

This spreadsheet contains 3 sheets, for reporting details of a preliminary assessment report. The sheets are labelled Annex 1, 2 and 3 and should remain so. This Environment Agency's PFRA Guidance should be referred to when completing the Annexes. Reporting information on past floods (Annex 1) is described in section 3.4 of the PFRA Guidance. Reporting information on future floods (Annex 2) is described in section 3.5 of the PFRA Guidance. Note that information might not be available for many of the optional fields in Annexes 1 and 2. Reporting information on Flood Risk Areas (Annex 3) is described in section 4.4 of the PFRA Guidance. If a PFRA does not identify a Flood Risk Area, Annex 3 does not have to completed.

### Please select a Lead Local Flood Authority from the following list:

Note that only one LLFA name can be selected. Where several LLFAs are working together, select one of the LLFAs, and then list the others below. If a particular LLFA is leading the exercise then it should be identified in the box in row 15. If there is no particular lead then it does not matter which one is selected; for example you might enter the LLFA that comes first among the group alphabetically.

### Select here: Cheshire East

Working with: (only complete this box where several LLFAs are working together to produce a PFRA)

### For Annexes 1, 2 and 3:

Introduction:

Mandatory content to meet European Commission reporting requirements is shown in **red**. If an optional field is not applicable, record "Not applicable" or "NA". If an optional field is not known, record "Unknown".

### For Annex 1 in particular:

Note that only past floods with significant consequences need to be reported in Annex 1. Each past flood record must have significant consequences for at least one type of consequence (human health, economic, environment, or cultural). Some information on past floods is optional, but only for this first PFRA cycle. In future cycles, the European Commission will require more information to be reported for floods that occur after 22 Dec 2011. This is shown by the fields labelled "Optional for first cycle". LLFAs should record the following information from 22 Dec 2011. Start date, Days duration, Probability, Main source, Main mechanism, Main characteristics, and Significant consequences of flooding.

	Records of past floo Flood ID	ds and their significant consequences (preliminary assessment report spreadsheet) Summary description	Name of Location	National Grid Reference	Location Description	Start date	Days duration	Probability	Main source of flooding	Additional source(s) of flooding	Confidence in main source of flooding
Format: Notes:	Mandatory Unique number between 1-9999 A sequential number starting at 1 and incrementing by 1 for each record.	Max 5,000 characters Description of the flood and its adverse or potentially adverse consequences. Where available, information from other fields ( <u>Start date</u> , <u>Days duration</u> , <u>Probability</u> , <u>Main</u> <u>source</u> , <u>Main mechanism</u> , <u>Main characteristics</u> , <u>Significant consequences</u> ) should be re	Name of the locality associated with the flood, using recognised postal address names such as streets, towns,	Mandatory 12 characters: 2 letters, 10 numbers National Grid Reference of the centroid (centre point, falls within polygon) of the flood extent, or of the area affected if there is no extent information.	A description of the general location that was flooded.	'yyyy' or 'yyyy-mm' or 'yyyy-mm-dd' The date when the flood commenced - when land not	Number with two decimal places The number of days (duration) of the flood that land not normally covered by water was	Max 25 characters The chance of the I - flood occuring in any given year - record D from "a 1 in X chanc of occurring in any given year". Where - this is difficult to estimate, a range ca	<ul> <li>which the majority of</li> <li>flooding occurred.</li> <li>Refer to the PFRA</li> <li>guidance for</li> <li>definitions of sources.</li> </ul>	Max 250 characters, same source terms If flooding occurred from, or interacted with, any other sources (other than the <u>Main source of</u>	Optional Pick from drop-down Pick a broad level of confidence in the <u>Main</u> <u>source of flooding</u> from; 'High' (compelling evidence of source - about 80% confident that source is correct), 'Medium' (some evidence of source but not compelling - about 50% confident that source is correct) '
Example:		1 On the 14 April 1998 an intense storm system produced surface water flooding across Essex, concentrated in the west of the county. The flooding lasted about 6 hours, and 23 residential properties were recorded as suffering internal flooding, in Epping and North Weald. The surface runoff exceeded the drainage capacity in several places, and so probably had a 1 in 30 to 1 in 50 chance of occuring in any given year.	Essex	SX1234512345	Several towns and villages across west Essex	1998-04-15	0.2	25 20-50	Surface runoff		High
Records begin here:		<ol> <li>Garden Street, Macclesfield. Flooding from the river Bollin during a significant flood event in October 1998. Major flooding to properties and comercial premises. Estimated return period :1 in 100 year event.</li> <li>Queen street/Royle Street Congleton, Following extreme heavy rain fall in October 1998, the River Dane swelled and burst its banks Flooding the properties and Comercial premises surrounding this location. It also effected Havvanha Street and Worral Street</li> </ol>		SJ91917433 SJ85846332	Macclesfield Town Congleton Town	199/ 199/			00 Main rivers 00 Main rivers		High High

Main mechanism of flooding	Main characteristic of flooding	Significant consequences to human health	Human health consequences - residential properties	Property count method	consequences	Significant economic consequences	residential properties flooded	Property count method	consequences	Significant consequences to the environment		
Optional for first cycle Pick from drop-down	Optional for first cycle Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Number between 1- 10.000.000	Optional Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Number between 1- 10,000,000	Optional Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters	F
Pick a mechanism from; 'Natural exceedance' (of capacity), 'Defence exceedance' (floodwater overtopping defences), 'Failure' (of natural or artificial defences or infrastructure, or of pumping), 'Blockage or restriction' (natural or artificial blockage or	slower rate than a flash flood), 'Snow melt flood' (due to rapid snow melt), 'Debris flow'	Were there any significant consequences to human health when the flood occurred, or would there be if it were to re-occur?	Record the number of residential properties where the building structure was affected either internally or	non-residential properties have been		Were there any significant economic consequences when the flood occurred, or would there be if it were to re-occur?	Record the number of non-residential properties where the building structure was affected either	non-residential properties have been counted, it is important to record the method of counting, to aid comparisons		Were there any significant consequences to the environment when the flood occurred, or would there be if it were to re-occur?	If there were <u>Significant</u> <u>consequences to the</u> <u>environment</u> , describe them including information such as national and international designated sites flooded, and pollution sources flooded.	
Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes	23	Observed number		No				No		Ν
Natural exceedance	Natural flood		500	Observed number		Yes	50+	Observed number		Yes	sewerage in river	Ν
Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes	200	) Observed number	road network closure road network closure, possible treat to life	Yes	50+	Observed number		Yes	sewerage in river	N
		yes			from rising water level,							

# Significant consequences to cultural heritage MandatoryOptionalPick from drop-downMax 250 characters

Were there any

Cultural heritage consequences

If there were 

 Were there any significant
 If there were Significant

 consequences to e cultural heritage when the flood occurred, or would there be if it were to re-occur?
 consequences to cultural heritage, describe them including information such as the number and type of heritage assets flooded.

No

No

Optional Optional Max 1,000 characters Max 250 c Any additional comments about the past flood record. Epping Fo Council	owner A	Area flooded	Flood event outline confidence	Flood event outline source	Survey date	Photo ID	Lineage	Sensitive data	Protective marking descriptor	European Flood Event Code
comments about the past flood record. Epping Fo	250 characters N	Dptional Number with two lecimal places		Optional Pick from drop-down	Optional 'yyyy' or 'yyyy-mm' or 'yyyy-mm-dd'	Optional Max 50 characters	Optional Max 250 characters	Optional Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 50 characters	Auto-populated Max 42 characters
	T la	The total area of the and flooded, in km <sup>2</sup>	Choose from; 'High' (data includes one of: Aerial video, Aerial photos, Professional survey, Flood level information, EA flood data recording staff notes), 'Medium' (data includes one of: EA/LA ground video, EA/LA ground photos, EA/LA flood event outline	Site survey	yyyy-min-od 1998-04-20	Provide references to relevant specific photographs, or to a set of relevant photographs. It may not be practical to reference all relevant photographs for each flood event.	Lineage is how and what the data is made from. Has this data been created by using data owned or derived from data owned by 3rd party (external) organisations? If yes please give details.	the Government's Protective Marking	For use where organisations apply the Government's Protective Marking Scheme.	This field will autopopulate using the LLFA name provided on the "Instructions" tab, and the <u>Flood ID</u> . It is an EU-wide unique identifier and will be used to report the flood information. Format: UK <ons code=""><p f="" or=""><llfa Flood ID&gt;. "ONS Code" is a uniq</llfa </p></ons>
					1550-04-20		AddressPoint; CEH 1:50k River Centreline; NextMap DTM.			

Environment Agency

Environment Agency

UKE09000002P0001

UKE0900002P0002

ANNEX 2: Field:	Records of future flo Flood ID	bods and their consequences (preliminary assessment report spreadsheet) Description of assessment method	Name of Location	National Grid Reference	Location Description	Name	Flood modelled	Probability	Main source of flooding	Additional source(s) of flooding	Confidence in main source of flooding
Mandatory / optional: Format: Notes:	Mandatory Unique number between 1-9999 A sequential number starting at 1 and incrementing by 1 for each record.	Mandatory Max 1,000 characters Description of the future flood information and how it has been produced. Cover Regulation 12(6) requirements of (a) topography, (b) the location of watercourses, (c) the location of flood plains that retain flood water, (d) the characteristics of watercourses, and (e) the effectiveness of any works constructed for the purpose of flood risk management. Information from other relevant fields ( <u>Probability</u> , <u>Main source</u> , <u>Name</u> ) should be repeated here.	flood, using recognised postal address names such as streets, towns, counties. If the flood affects the whole	Mandatory 12 characters: 2 letters, 10 numbers National Grid Reference of the centroid (centre point, falls within polygon) of the flood extent, or of the area affected if there is no extent information. If the flood affects the whole LLFA, then record the centroid of the LLFA.	e e	Optional Max 250 characters Name of the model or map product or project which produced the future flood information	Background, or t additional information on the probability of the flood modelled - such as whether	of occurring in any given year".	Pick the source which generates the majority of flooding. Refer to the PFRA guidance fo	r generated by, or interacts with, any r other sources (other than the <u>Main source</u>	Optional Pick from drop-down Pick a broad level of confidence in the <u>Mai</u> <u>source of flooding</u> from; 'High' (compelling evidence of source - about 80% confident that source is correct), 'Medium' (some evidence of source but not compelling - about 50% confident that source is correct) 'Low' (source assumed - about 20% confident that source is correct) or
Example:		1 See records below for examples of description of assessment method.	Essex	SX1234512345		Flood Map for Surface Water - 1 in 200 deep	Probability refers to the probability of the rainfall event, in this case producing flooding of greater than 0.3m depth.	200	Surface runoff		'Unknown'. High
Records begin here:		<ol> <li>Topography is derived from LIDAR (in larger urban areas, on 1, 2 and 3m grids; original accuracy ± 0.15m) and Geoperspective data (original accuracy ± 1.5m), processed to remove buildings and vegetation, then degraded to a composite 5m DTM. Manual edits applied where flow paths clearly omitted e.g. below bridges.</li> <li>Flow routes dictated by topography; no allowance made for manmade drainage. The DTM may miss flow paths below bridges.</li> <li>Areas that may flood are defined by dynamically routing a 6.5 hour duration storm with 1 in 200 chance of occurring in any year, over the DTM using JBA's JFLOW–GPU model.</li> <li>Manning's n of 0.1 is used throughout, to allow broad scale effects of buildings and other obstructions to be approximated.</li> <li>No allowance made for drainage, pumping or other works constructed for the purpose of flood risk management.</li> <li>The 'less susceptible' layer shows where modelled flooding is 0.1-0.3m deep; you must</li> </ol>		SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Areas Susceptible to Surface Water Flooding (AStSWF) - Less	Probability refers to the probability of the rainfall event. This identifies areas which are 'less susceptible' to surface water flooding. For more information refer to "What are Areas Susceptible to Surface Water Flooding" Environment Agency December 2010.	20	0 Surface runoff		High
		<ul> <li>2 • Topography is derived from LIDAR (in larger urban areas, on 1, 2 and 3m grids; original accuracy ± 0.15m) and Geoperspective data (original accuracy ± 1.5m), processed to remove buildings and vegetation, then degraded to a composite 5m DTM. Manual edits applied where flow paths clearly omitted e.g. below bridges.</li> <li>• Flow routes dictated by topography; no allowance made for manmade drainage. The DTM may miss flow paths below bridges.</li> <li>• Areas that may flood are defined by dynamically routing a 6.5 hour duration storm with 1 in 200 chance of occurring in any year, over the DTM using JBA's JFLOW–GPU model.</li> <li>• Manning's n of 0.1 is used throughout, to allow broad scale effects of buildings and other obstructions to be approximated.</li> <li>• No allowance made for drainage, pumping or other works constructed for the purpose of flood risk management.</li> <li>• The 'intermediate susceptibility' layer shows where modelled flooding is 0.3-1.0m deep;</li> </ul>		SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Areas Susceptible to Surface Water Flooding (AStSWF) - Intermediate	Probability refers to the probability of the rainfall event. This identifies areas with 'intermediate susceptibility' to surface water flooding.	20	0 Surface runoff		High
		<ul> <li>You must not interpret this as don't of floading, enther as indicative of automatikility to 3 • Topography is derived from LIDAR (in larger urban areas, on 1, 2 and 3m grids; original accuracy ± 0.15m) and Geoperspective data (original accuracy ± 1.5m), processed to remove buildings and vegetation, then degraded to a composite 5m DTM. Manual edits applied where flow paths clearly omitted e.g. below bridges.</li> <li>Flow routes dictated by topography; no allowance made for manmade drainage. The DTM may miss flow paths below bridges.</li> <li>Areas that may flood are defined by dynamically routing a 6.5 hour duration storm with 1 in 200 chance of occurring in any year, over the DTM using JBA's JFLOW–GPU model.</li> <li>Manning's n of 0.1 is used throughout, to allow broad scale effects of buildings and other obstructions to be approximated.</li> <li>No allowance made for drainage, pumping or other works constructed for the purpose of flood risk management.</li> <li>The 'more susceptible' layer shows where modelled flooding is &gt;1.0m deep; you must not interpret this as don'th of flooding.</li> </ul>		SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Areas Susceptible to Surface Water Flooding (AStSWF) - More	the probability of the	20	0 Surface runoff		High

<ul> <li>4 • Topography is derived from 64.5% LIDAR (on 0.25m-2m grids; original accuracy ± 0.15m) and 35.5% NEXTMap SAR (on 5m grid; original accuracy ± 1.0m), processed i remove buildings &amp; vegetation, then combined on a 2m grid; buildings added with an arbitrary height of 5m based on OS MasterMap 2009 building footprints, then resample to a 5m grid DTM. Manual edits applied where flow paths clearly omitted e.g. below bridges.</li> <li>• Flow routes dictated by topography; a uniform allowance of 12mm/hr has been made manmade drainage in urban areas. Infiltration allowance reduces runoff to 39% in rura</li> </ul>	for	SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Flood Map for Surface Water (FMfSW) - 1 in 30		30 Surface runoff
<ul> <li>areas and 70% in urban areas.</li> <li>Areas that may flood are defined by dynamically routing a 1.1 hour duration storm will in 30 chance of occurring in any year over the DTM using JBA's JFLOW–GPU model.</li> <li>Manning's n of 0.1 in rural areas; 0.03 in urban areas, to reflect explicit modelling of buildings in urban areas.</li> </ul>	h 1					
<ul> <li>No allowance made for load variations is decinate, aumains or other works construets for Topography is derived from 64.5% LIDAR (on 0.25m-2m grids; original accuracy ± 0.15m) and 35.5% NEXTMap SAR (on 5m grid; original accuracy ± 1.0m), processed is remove buildings &amp; vegetation, then combined on a 2m grid; buildings added with an arbitrary height of 5m based on OS MasterMap 2009 building footprints, then resample to a 5m grid DTM. Manual edits applied where flow paths clearly omitted e.g. below bridges.</li> <li>Flow routes dictated by topography; a uniform allowance of 12mm/hr has been made manmade drainage in urban areas. Infiltration allowance reduces runoff to 39% in rura areas and 70% in urban areas.</li> <li>Areas that may flood are defined by dynamically routing a 1.1 hour duration storm wii in 30 chance of occurring in any year over the DTM using JBA's JFLOW–GPU model.</li> <li>Manning's n of 0.1 in rural areas; 0.03 in urban areas, to reflect explicit modelling of buildings in urban areas.</li> </ul>	Cheshire East o for h 1	SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Flood Map for Surface Water (FMfSW) - 1 in 30 deep		30 Surface runoff
<ul> <li>No allowance made for load variations is drainage, aumning or other works construets on the second second</li></ul>	Cheshire East o for h 1	SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Flood Map for Surface Water (FMfSW) - 1 in 200		200 Surface runoff
<ul> <li>No allowance made for load variations is drainage, automing or other works construets a property is derived from 64.5% LIDAR (on 0.25m-2m grids; original accuracy ± 0.15m) and 35.5% NEXTMap SAR (on 5m grid; original accuracy ± 1.0m), processed i remove buildings &amp; vegetation, then combined on a 2m grid; buildings added with an arbitrary height of 5m based on OS MasterMap 2009 building footprints, then resample to a 5m grid DTM. Manual edits applied where flow paths clearly omitted e.g. below bridges.</li> <li>Flow routes dictated by topography; a uniform allowance of 12mm/hr has been made manmade drainage in urban areas. Infiltration allowance reduces runoff to 39% in rura areas and 70% in urban areas.</li> <li>Areas that may flood are defined by dynamically routing a 1.1 hour duration storm wi in 200 chance of occurring in any year over the DTM using JBA's JFLOW–GPU model</li> <li>Manning's n of 0.1 in rural areas; 0.03 in urban areas, to reflect explicit modelling of buildings in urban areas.</li> </ul>	for I h 1	SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Flood Map for Surface Water (FMfSW) - 1 in 200 deep		200 Surface runoff
<ul> <li>No allowance mode for local variations in devines a pumpine or other works construe 8 • Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding (AStGWF) is a strategic scale map show groundwater flood areas on a 1km square grid</li> <li>This data has used the top two susceptibility bands of the British Geological Society (BGS) 1:50,000 Groundwater Flood Susceptibility Map, which was developed on a 50r grid from:</li> <li>NEXTMap 5m grid DTM.</li> <li>National Groundwater Level data on a 50m grid</li> <li>BGS 1:50 000 geological mapping, with classifications of permeability</li> <li>It covers consolidated aquifers (chalk, limestone, sandstone etc.) and superficial deposits.</li> <li>Flood plains are not explicitly identified; the mapping identifies where groundwater is likely to emerge, and not where the water is subsequently likely to flow or pond.</li> <li>No allowance is made for engineering works, or for groundwater rebound or abstract to prevent groundwater rebound.</li> </ul>	ing Cheshire East	SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding (AStGWF)	Does not describe a Unkn probability, but shows places where groundwater emergence more likely to occur.	own Groundwater

- Chause the preparties of each 1km and equare which is susceptible to groundwater

High

High

High

High

High

<ul> <li>9 • Modelling developed from combination of national (2004) and local (generally 1998-2010) modelling.</li> <li>• Topography derived from LIDAR (on 0.25m-2m grids; original accuracy ± 0.15m), NEXTMap SAR (on 5m grid; original accuracy ± 1.0m), processed to remove buildings &amp; vegetation. For local modelling, topography may include ground survey.</li> <li>• Location of watercourses and tidal flow routes dictated by topographic survey.</li> <li>• Areas that may flood are defined for catchments &gt;3km² by routing appropriate flows for that catchment through the model to ascertain water level and thus depth and extent.</li> <li>• Manning's n of 0.1 used for national fluvial modelling; variable (calibrated) values for national tidal modelling; appropriate values selected for local modelling. Channel capacity assumed as QMED for national fluvial modelling; local survey methods used for local modelling.</li> <li>• For the purpose of flood risk management, models assume that there are no raised</li> </ul>	Cheshire East	SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Flood Map (for rivers and sea) - flood zone 3	Fluvial 1 in 100, tidal 1 in 200		100 Mai
<ul> <li>10 • Modelling developed from combination of national (2004) and local (generally 2004-2010) modelling.</li> <li>• Topography derived from LIDAR (on 0.25m-2m grids; original accuracy ± 0.15m), NEXTMap SAR (on 5m grid; original accuracy ± 1.0m), processed to remove buildings &amp; vegetation. For local modelling, topography may include ground survey.</li> <li>• Location of watercourses and tidal flow routes dictated by topographic survey.</li> <li>• Areas that may flood are defined for catchments &gt;3km<sup>2</sup> by routing appropriate flows for that catchment through the model to ascertain water level and thus depth and extent.</li> <li>• Manning's n of 0.1 used for national fluvial modelling; variable (calibrated) values for national tidal modelling; appropriate values selected for local modelling. Channel capacity assumed as QMED for national fluvial modelling; local survey methods used for local modelling.</li> <li>• For the purpose of flood risk management, models assume that there are no raised</li> </ul>	Cheshire East	SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide		Extreme flood outline is 1 in 1000, and includes some historic where judged that this gives an indication of areas at risk of future flooding.		1000 Mai
<ul> <li>11 Defra Groundwater Emergence Zones; indicate likely areas of groundwater emergence derived from historic instances and analysis of existing groundwater bodies and geology.</li> </ul>	Cheshire East	SJ78856303	Local Authority Area Wide	Groundwater Emergence Zones	N/A	1-100	Gro

Main rivers

Sea, ordinary watercourses Medium

Main rivers

Sea, ordinary watercourses

Medium

Groundwater

Main mechanism of flooding	Main characteristic of flooding	Significant consequences to human health	Human health consequences - residential properties	Property count method	Other human health consequences	Significant economic consequences	Number of non- residential properties flooded	Property count method	Other economic consequences	Significant consequences to the environment	Environment consequences	S
Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Number between 1-		Optional Max 250 characters	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Number between 1-	Optional Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters	F
Pick a mechanism from; 'Natural exceedance' (of capacity), 'Defence exceedance' (floodwater overtopping defences), 'Failure' (of natural or artificial defences or infrastructure, or of pumping), 'Blockage or restriction' (natural or artificial blockage or restriction of a conveyance channel or system), or 'No data'. Natural exceedance	slower rate than a flash flood), 'Snow melt flood' (due to rapid snow melt), 'Debris flow'	Would there be any significant consequences to human health if the future flood were to occur? Yes	where the building structure would be affected either	non-residential properties have been counted, it is important to record the method of counting, to aid comparisons between counts.		significant economic consequences if the future flood were to occur?	10,000,000 Record the number of non-residential properties where the building structure would be affected either internally or externally if the flood were to occur.	non-residential	•	Would there be any significant consequences to the environment if the future flood were to occur?	If there would be <u>Significant</u> <u>consequences to the</u> <u>environment</u> , describe them including information such as national and international designated sites flooded, and pollution sources flooded.	
Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes	25900	)		Yes	8300	)		No		٢
Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes	10200	)		Yes	3700	)		No		1
Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes	1231			Yes	645	5		No		1

# Significant consequences to cultural heritage MandatoryOptionalPick from drop-downMax 250 characters

Cultural heritage consequences

Would there be any If there would be Would there be any significant <u>Significant</u> <u>c</u> consequences to be cultural heritage if the future flood were to occur? <u>including information</u> such as the number and type of heritage assets flooded.

No

No

No

Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes		Yes		Νο	No
Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes		Yes		Νο	No
Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes	27200	Yes	9200	Νο	No
Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes	6400	Yes	2800	Νο	No

Natural exceedance Natural flood Yes Yes No

Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes	Yes
Natural exceedance	Natural flood	Yes	Yes

### Natural exceedance Natural flood Yes

Yes

No

No

No

No

No

Comments	Data owner	Area flooded	Confidence in modelled outline	Model date	Model Type	Hydrology Type	Lineage	Sensitive data	Protective marking descriptor	European Flood Event Co
Optional Max 1,000 characters	Optional Max 250 characters	Optional Number with two decimal places	Optional Pick from drop-down	Optional 'yyyy' or 'yyyy-mm' or 'yyyy-mm-dd'	Optional Max 250 characters	Optional Max 250 characters	Optional Max 250 characters	Optional Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 50 characters	Auto-populated Max 42 characters
Any additional comments about the future flood record.		The total area of the land flooded, in km <sup>2</sup>	Pick a broad level of confidence in the modelled flood outline from; 'High' (good match to past flood extents - about 80% confident that outline is correct), 'Medium' (reasonable match - about 50% confident that outline is correct), 'Low' (poor match, sparse data - about 20% confident that outline is correct) or 'Unknown'.			Type of hydrology method used to create future flood information.	Lineage is how and what the data is made from. Has this data been created by using data owned or derived from data owned by 3rd party (external) organisations? If yes please give details.	Scheme? Include protective marking time limit where	For use where organisations apply the Government's Protective Marking Scheme.	This field will autopopulat name provided on the "In the <u>Flood ID</u> . It is an EU- identifier and will be used information. Format: UK <ons code=""> Flood ID&gt;. "ONS Code" reference for each LLFA. the event is past or future is a sequential number be</ons>
	Epping Forest District Council		Medium-Low	2008-08	2D-TuFlow	FEH (Revised Rainfall Runoff)	Ordnance Survey AddressPoint; CEH 1:50k River Centreline; NextMap DTM.	Unmarked	Private	UKE10000012F0001
	JBA Consulting (distributed by Environment Agency under licence)		Low	2009-07	JFLOW-GPU	Depth-duration-frequency curves derived from FEH CD-ROM, from centre of each 5km model, with areal reduction factor applied to convert point rainfall estimate to more representative figure. Curve then used to derive 6.5 hr, 1:200 chance rainfall depth; this is converted to hyetograph, using summer rainfall profile.		Protect	Commercial	UKE06000049F0001
	JBA Consulting (distributed by Environment Agency under licence)		Low	2009-07	JFLOW-GPU	Depth-duration-frequency curves derived from FEH CD-ROM, from centre of each 5km model, with areal reduction factor applied to convert point rainfall estimate to more representative figure. Curve then used to derive 6.5 hr, 1:200 chance rainfall depth; this is converted to hyetograph, using summer rainfall profile.		Protect	Commercial	UKE06000049F0002
	JBA Consulting (distributed by Environment Agency under licence)		Low	2009-07	JFLOW-GPU	Depth-duration-frequency curves derived from FEH CD-ROM, from centre of each 5km model, with areal reduction factor applied to convert point rainfall estimate to more representative figure. Curve then used to derive 6.5 hr, 1:200 chance rainfall depth; this is converted to hyetograph, using summer rainfall profile.		Protect	Commercial	UKE06000049F0003

t Code

oulate using the LLFA e "Instructions" tab, and EU-wide unique used to report the flood

de><P or F><LLFA de" is a unique .FA. "P or F" indicates if uture. "LLFA Flood ID" er beginning with 0001.

	Environment Agency	Medium-Low	2010-11	JFLOW-GPU	Depth-duration-frequency curves derived from FEH CD-ROM, from centre of each 5km model, with areal reduction factor applied to convert point rainfall estimate to more representative figure. Curve then used to derive 1.1 hr, 1:30 chance rainfall depth; this is converted to hyetograph, using summer rainfall profile. See " <u>Description of</u> <u>assessment method</u> " for allowances for infiltration and drainage.	EA 2m Composite DTM, OSMM Topography	Unmarked	UKE06000049F0004
	Environment Agency	Medium-Low	2010-11	JFLOW-GPU	Depth-duration-frequency curves derived from FEH CD-ROM, from centre of each 5km model, with areal reduction factor applied to convert point rainfall estimate to more representative figure. Curve then used to derive 1.1 hr, 1:30 chance rainfall depth; this is converted to hyetograph, using summer rainfall profile. See "Description of assessment method" for allowances for infiltration and drainage.	Rainfall Hyetograph, EA 2m Composite DTM, OSMM Topography	Unmarked	UKE06000049F0005
	Environment Agency	Medium-Low	2010-11	JFLOW-GPU	Depth-duration-frequency curves derived from FEH CD-ROM, from centre of each 5km model, with areal reduction factor applied to convert point rainfall estimate to more representative figure. Curve then used to derive 1.1 hr, 1:200 chance rainfall depth; this is converted to hyetograph, using summer rainfall profile. See "Description of assessment method" for allowances for infiltration and drainage.	Rainfall Hyetograph, EA 2m Composite DTM, OSMM Topography	Unmarked	UKE06000049F0006
	Environment Agency	Medium-Low	2010-11	JFLOW-GPU	Depth-duration-frequency curves derived from FEH CD-ROM, from centre of each 5km model, with areal reduction factor applied to convert point rainfall estimate to more representative figure. Curve then used to derive 1.1 hr, 1:200 chance rainfall depth; this is converted to hyetograph, using summer rainfall profile. See "Description of assessment method" for allowances for infiltration and drainage.	Rainfall Hyetograph, EA 2m Composite DTM, OSMM Topography	Unmarked	UKE06000049F0007
Data developed specifically for PFRA, and is unlikely to be suitable for any other purposes.	Environment Agency	Low	2010-11	ArcGIS	Uses data which is developed from published BGS groundwater level contours, groundwater levels in BGS WellMaster database and some river levels. No probability is associated with this data.	British Geological Society (BGS) DiGMapGB-50 [Susceptibility to Groundwater Flooding].	Unmarked	UKE06000049F0008



Data updated quarterly. To understand the likelihood of future flooding, taking account of defences, refer to Areas Benefitting from Defences and Nation Flood Risk Assessment (NaFRA data. Marked 'Protect for complete national datacet only Data updated quarterly. To understand the likelihood of future flooding, taking account of defences, refer to National Floo Risk Assessment (NaFRA) data. Marke 'Protect' for complete national dataset only.	environment Agency	Medium	2010-11 2010-11	Varies but mainly JFLOW, ISIS, HEC- RAS, TUFLOW for fluvial, and HYDROF for tidal. Varies but mainly JFLOW, ISIS, HEC- RAS, TUFLOW for fluvial, and HYDROF for tidal.	fluvial flood peak estimates was derived from the Flood Estimation Handbook (FEH) to generate a 1 in 100 chance fluvial flood. Local fluvial modelling uses FEH methods. Peak tidal water levels from either Dixon & Tawn (DT3) or local data sets to derive 1 in 200 chance tide levels including surge from POL CSX model. National methodology described in "National Generalised Modelling for Flood Zones - Fluvial & Tidal Modelling Methods - Methodology, Strengths and Limitations". A national dataset (for England and Wales) of fluvial flood peak estimates was derived from the Flood Estimation Handbook (FEH) to generate a 1 in 1000 chance fluvial flood. Local fluvial modelling uses FEH methods. Peak tidal water levels from either Dixon & Tawn (DT3) or local data sets to derive 1 in	Extreme Water Levels, POL CS3 Astronomical Tides, UKHO Admiralty Tide Time-Series Calibration Locations, OS 1:10 Boundary NextMap SAR DTMe, UKHO Admiralty Charts, 1:50K CEH River Centre Line, CEH FEH Q(T) Grids, POL CSX Peak Extreme Water Levels, POL CS3 Astronomical Tides, UKHO Admiralty Tide Time-Series	Protect	Commercial	UKE06000049F0009 UKE06000049F0010



UKE06000049F0011

Field:	Flood Risk Area ID	Name of Flood Risk Area	onale (preliminary asses National Grid Reference	Main source of flooding	Additional source(s) of flooding	Confidence in main source of flooding	Main mechanism of flooding	Main characteristic of flooding
Mandatory / optional: Format:	Mandatory Unique number between 1-9999	Mandatory Max 250 characters	Mandatory 12 characters: 2 letters, 10 numbers	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters, same source terms	Optional Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Pick from drop-down
Notes:	A sequential number starting at 1 and incrementing by 1 for each record.	Name of the locality associated with the Flood Risk Area; a town, city, or county.	National Grid Reference of the centroid (centre point, falls within polygon) of the Flood Risk Area.	Pick the source from which there is a significant flood risk. Refer to the PFRA guidance for definitions of sources.	If there is also significant flood risk	confidence in the <u>Main</u> <u>source of flooding</u> from; 'High' (compelling evidence of source - about 80% confident that source is correct), 'Medium' (some evidence of source but not compelling - about 50% confident that source is correct) 'Low' (source assumed - about 20%	exceedance' (of capacity), 'Defence exceedance' (floodwater overtopping defences), 'Failure' (of natural or artificial defences or infrastructure, or of pumping), 'Blockage or restriction' (natural or artificial blockage or	slower rate than a flash flood), 'Snow melt flood' (due to rapid snow melt), 'Debris flow'
Example:	1	London	SX1234512345	Surface runoff	NA	High	Natural exceedance	Natural flood
Records begin here:								

# Annex 3 Flood Risk Areas

Significant consequences to human health	Human health consequences - residential properties	Property count method	d Other human health consequences	Significant economic consequences	Number of non- residential properties flooded	Property count method	consequences	Significant consequences to the environment		Significant consequences to cultural heritage	Cultural heritage consequences
Mandatory Pick from drop-down Has the Flood Risk Area been identified as a result of significant consequences to human health?	Optional Number between 1- 10,000,000 Record the number of residential properties where the building structure would be affected either internally or externally by the flood.	Optional Pick from drop-down Where residential or non-residential properties have been counted, it is important to record the method of counting, to aid comparisons between counts. Choose from; 'Detailed GIS' (using property outlines, as per Environment Agency guidance), 'Simple GIS' (using property points), 'Estimate from map', or 'Observed number'.			Optional Number between 1- 10,000,000 Record the number of non-residential properties where the building structure would be affected either internally or externally by the flood.	non-residential properties have been counted, it is important to record the method of counting, to	describe them (such as information about the area of agricultural land flooded, length of roads and rail flooded).	Area been identified as a result of significant consequences to the environment?	Optional Max 250 characters If the Flood Risk Area has been identified as a result of <u>Significant</u> <u>consequences to the</u> <u>environment</u> , describe them (such as information about national and international designated sites flooded, and pollution sources flooded).	Area been identified as a result of significant	Optional Max 250 characters If the Flood Risk Area has been identified as a result of <u>Significant</u> <u>consequences to</u> <u>cultural heritage</u> . describe them (such as information about the number and type of heritage assets flooded).
Yes	50000	Detailed GIS		No				No		No	

Origin of Flood Risk Area	Amended Flood Risk Area rationale	New Flood Risk Area rationale	Rationale detail	European Flood Risk Area Code
Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Max 1,000 characters	Auto-populated Max 42 characters
Flood Risk Area, 'Amended' Flood Risk Area (in which case <u>Amended Flood Risk</u> <u>Area rationale</u> is mandatory), or 'New' Flood Risk Area (in which case <u>New Flood</u>	'Geography', 'Past floods', or 'Future floods'. Then provide further detail in <u>Rationale detail</u> . This is not mandatory if the Flood Risk Area was	if the Flood Risk Area was an indicative Flood Risk Area.	Summarise the rationale for amending an indicative Flood Risk Area, or identifying a new Flood Risk Area. Refer to Defra & WAG guidance to LLFAs on "Selecting and reviewing Flood Risk Areas for local sources of flooding". If the Flood Risk Area was an indicative Flood Risk Area and has not been amended, record "indicative Flood Risk Area".	This field will autopopulate using the LLFA name provided on the "Instructions" tab, and the <u>Flood Risk Area ID</u> . It is an EU-wide unique identifier and will be used to report the Flood Risk Area information. Format: UK <ons code=""><a><llfa flood<br="">ID&gt;. "ONS Code" is a unique reference for each LLFA. "A" indicates it is a Flood Risk Area. "LLFA Flood ID" is a sequential number beginning with 0001.</llfa></a></ons>
Indicative	NA	NA	indicative Flood Risk Area	UKE10000012A0001